Submitted by: Assemblymember BIRCH Prepared by: Assembly Counsel Pastponed Shelfinitely ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AO NO. 2006-12 For reading: January 24, 2006 THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE ORDINANCE SUBMITTING TO AN MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE AT THE REGULAR MUNICIPAL ELECTION ON APRIL 4, 2006, A BALLOT PROPOSITION REPEALING AND REENACTING HOME RULE CHARTER ARTICLE XXI, SECTION 11.02, ELECTION PROCEDURES, TO ADOPT INSTANT RUN-OFF VOTING PROCEDURES IN MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS. WHEREAS, the Anchorage Municipal Assembly finds that it is in the public interest to adopt instant run-off voting procedures for municipal elections to ensure that all candidates for the offices of Mayor, Municipal Assembly member, and School Board member are elected with majority support; and WHEREAS, presently, the Charter allows a mayoral candidate to win without majority 14 support and without a runoff election, so long as the candidate receives a minimum of 45% of the 15 votes cast; and 16 WHEREAS, instant run-off voting accomplishes the goals of a traditional runoff election 17 without the expense of holding a second election; and 18 19 WHEREAS, Charter Section 18.01 provides that the Charter may only be amended upon 20 the concurrence of a majority of the qualified voters of Anchorage; 21 22 NOW THEREFORE THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS: 23 24 That a ballot proposition in substantially the same form as appears in Section 2 25 Section 1. below shall be placed on the ballot and submitted to the qualified voters of the Municipality at 26 the regular municipal election of April 4, 2006. 27 28 That the proposition shall be presented in substantially the following form: 29 Section 2. 30 PROPOSITION NO. ___ 31

1

2

5 6 7

8

10

11 12

13

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

CHARTER AMENDMENT

IN **PROCEDURES RUN-OFF VOTING** ADOPT INSTANT MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS.

Shall Anchorage Municipal Charter Article XI, Section 11.02(b), Election Procedures, be repealed and reenacted as follows to adopt an instant run-off

 voting procedure in municipal elections? (<u>Underlined</u> language is new and [BRACKETED] language is to be repealed).

The Mayor of Anchorage, Municipal Assembly members, and School (b) Board members shall be elected using an instant runoff voting procedure. In these elections, the ballots shall provide that the voter may vote for candidates in order of preference. If a candidate receives a majority of the first choice votes, that candidate shall be declared elected. A majority is defined as fifty percent (50%) plus one vote. If no candidate receives a majority of the first choice votes, then under the instant runoff voting procedure, the candidate with fewest first choice votes is defeated and each first choice vote cast for the defeated candidate shall be transferred to the candidate ranked as second choice on the ballot of the voter who selected the defeated candidate as the voter's first choice. If under the initial instant runoff voting procedure, no candidate receives a majority, then the instant runoff voting procedure will be repeated to transfer votes cast for a defeated candidate to the next highest ranked candidate on the ballot of the voter who selected a defeated candidate. The counting and transferring process shall be repeated until one candidate receives a majority of the combined votes. The ballot shall not interfere with a voter's ability to cast a vote for a write-in candidate, and rank a write-in candidate in order of preference.

[IF NO CANDIDATE FOR THE OFFICE OF MAYOR RECEIVES MORE THAN 45 PERCENT OF THE VOTES CAST FOR THE OFFICE OF MAYOR, THE ASSEMBLY WITHIN THREE WEEKS FROM THE DATE OF CERTIFICATION OF THE ELECTION, SHALL HOLD A RUN OFF ELECTION BETWEEN THE TWO CANDIDATES RECEIVING THE HIGHEST NUMBER OF VOTES FOR THE OFFICE. RUN-OFF ELECTION UNDER THIS SECTION ARE NOT PURPOSED, HOWEVER, IN RACES WHERE THE NAMES OF NO MORE THAN TWO CANDIDATES APPEARED ON THE INITIAL BALLOT UNLESS A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE RECEIVED MORE VOTES THAN A CANDIDATE WHOSE NAME IS ON THE BALLOT.]

(AO No. 252-76, prop. 1, 10-4-77; prop. 10, 5-4-99; AO No. 2001-42(S), prop. 4, 4-2-01; AO No. 2002-79, 4-1-03)

Yes [] No []

-11	If approved by a majority of the voters on April 4, 2006, this proposition
2	shall apply to the results of this election. (AO 2006– , §)
3	
4	Section 3. That Section 1 of this ordinance shall become effective immediately upon
5	passage and approval by a two-thirds vote of the Assembly as set forth in Charter Section 18.02.
6	
7	Section 4. The proposition contained in Section 2 of this ordinance shall take effect
8	upon certification of the regular election of April 4, 2006, or the date the United States
9	Department of Justice issues its nonobjection, or the date by which the United States Department
10	of Justice must object if no objection is issued within the objection period, but only if the
11	proposition is passed by a majority of the qualified voters voting on the question at the regular
12	municipal election of April 4, 2006. If approved by the voters on April 4, 2006, the proposition
13	shall apply to the results of that same election and thereafter.
14	
15	Section 5. Upon passage and approval of Section 1, the Anchorage Municipal
16	Assembly shall adopt by ordinance amendments to Chapter 28.70 to codify procedures for
17	instant run-off voting.
18	
19	PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly thisday of
20	, 2006.
21	
22 23	
23	
24	Chair
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	ATTEST:
31	
32	
33	
34	Municipal Clerk



MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

No. <u>AIM 16-2006</u>

Meeting Date: January 24, 2006

From: Assemblymember Birch

Subject: Summary of Economic Effects for AO 2006-12

Attached to this memo is the Summary of Economic Effects for AO 2006-12, regarding AN ORDINANCE SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE AT THE REGULAR MUNICIPAL ELECTION ON APRIL 4, 2006, A BALLOT PROPOSITION REPEALING AND REENACTING HOME RULE CHARTER ARTICLE XXI, SECTION 11.02, ELECTION PROCEDURES, TO ADOPT INSTANT RUNOFF VOTING PROCEDURES IN ELECTIONS FOR THE OFFICE OF MAYOR OF ANCHORAGE.

Prepared By: Steven B. King, Utility Budget Analyst

Reviewed By: Barbara E. Gruenstein and Guadalupe Marroquin

Submitted By: Assemblymember Birch

1

2

20

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE **Summary of Economic Effects -- General Government**

AN ORDINANCE SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE AT THE REGULAR MUNICIPAL ELECTION ON APRIL 4, 2006, A BALLOT PROPOSITION REPEALING AND REENACTING HOME RULE CHARTER ARTICLE XXI, SECTION 11.02, ELECTION

AO Number: 2006-12

Title:

PROCEDURES, TO ADOPT INSTANT RUN-OFF VOTING PROCEDURES IN

Sponsor:

Assemblymember Birch Assembly

Preparing Agency:

Others Impacted:

CHANGES IN EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES:				(In Thousands of Dollars)							
	FY06		FY07		FY08		FY09		FY10		
Operating Expenditures 1000 Personal Services 2000 Non-Labor 3900 Contributions 4000 Debt Service	\$	- 1,513	\$	- 10	\$	- 10	\$	10	\$	- 10	
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS:	\$	1,513	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	
Add: 6000 Charges from Others Less: 7000 Charges to Others											
FUNCTION COST:	\$	1,513	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	\$	10	
REVENUES:											
CAPITAL:											
POSITIONS: FT/PT and Temp			<u> </u>								

POSITIONS: FT/PT and Temp

PUBLIC SECTOR ECONOMIC EFFECTS:

Three major election supply companies were contacted: Diebold, ES&S, and Sequoia. The Municipality of Anchorage does not own its own election equipment but rather borrows Diebold hardware at no cost from the State of Alaska. As a result, the only election hardware costs to MOA are for equipment transportation and repairs. Municipal and State election software is presently compatible and election results are transmitted on the eve of the election from the State of Alaska Division of Elections as election workers return State equipment. If adopted the MOA would need to purchase new hardware, IRE software, and storage space. The new IRE election system would be independent from the State as well as any other system used in the State of Alaska at this time.

Diebold does not currently offer the instant runoff election (IRE) system; however, they are open to the proposal. They are not certified at this time.

ES&S offered an estimate of \$1,500,000 to establish the system for the MOA. They are not certified at this time and did not provide a break down of their costs.

Sequoia reported a cost of \$725,000 to establish the IRE system for the MOA; however, they are not certified. The breakdown of their cost includes: IRE voting machines at \$3,500 each (140 machines equals \$490,000); software cost of \$150,000; ballot counting machines cost \$85,000.

If adopted storage would become the responsibility of the MOA. Racks for the machines would cost \$2,500 and building storage would be about \$9,300 per year (300 square feet at 1.89 per square foot for heated and secure area with access to electricity at a convenient location). The estimated ongoing cost for ballots and storage is \$10,000 per year.

Cost savings associated with the IRE system would be \$100,000 per runoff election as it currently stands; however the cost of run offs potentially occur only during Mayoral elections every three years.

PRIVATE SECTOR ECONOMIC EFFECTS:

There are no estimated private sector costs for this ordinance.

Prepared by: Steven B. King, Utility Budget Analyst

Reviewed by: Barbara Gruenstein and Guadalupe Marroquin

Telephone: 343-4714

Telephone: 343-4311 and 343-4376

Municipality of Anchorage MUNICIPAL CLERK'S OFFICE

Agenda Document Control Sheet

AO 2006-12

(SEE	REVERSE SIDE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION)			
	SUBJECT OF AGENDA DOCUMENT	DATE PREPARED		
1	ADOPT INSTANT RUN-OFF VOTING PROCEI	01/13/06		
			<u> </u>	
			Ind	dicate Documents Attached
			X AO	AR AM AIM
 	DEPARTMENT NAME		DIRECTOR'S NAM	E
2	A ~ ~ ~ ~ 1-1		Anna Fainal	augh Chair
	Assembly		Anna Faircle	
	THE PERSON THE DOCUMENT WAS ACTUALLY PREPARED BY			NUMBER
3	JULIA TUCKER - ASSEMBLY COUNSEL		343=4419	
4	COORDINATED WITH AND REVIEWED BY	INIT	TALS	DATE
<u> </u>	Mayor			
	Municipal Clerk			
	Municipal Attorney			
	Employee Relations			
	Municipal Manager			
	Cultural & Recreational Services			
	Fire			
	Health & Human Services			
	Merrill Field Airport			23
<u> </u>	······································	-		2005
├	Municipal Light & Power			l • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<u> </u>	Office of Management and Budget			
L	Police	· - ·		7. 6
	Port of Anchorage			U /
	Public Works			CF F
	Solid Waste Services			5 6
	Transit		· · ·	
	Water & Wastewater Utility			in 6
	Executive Manager			
	Community Planning & Development			
 	Finance Chief Finest Officer			
<u> </u>	Finance, Chief Fiscal Officer			
L	Heritage Land Bank			
	Management Information Services			
	Property & Facility Management			
	Purchasing			
	Other	·		
5	Special Instructions/Comments			
	CONSENT AGENDA - INTRODUCTION			
	COMPRISE HERMON - UNITROPHIC COM			
6	ASSEMBLY HEARING DATE REQUESTED	7	EARING DATE REQ 14/06	UESTED